

## **OMBUDSMAN INSTITUTION IN COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE**

Ву

Fuad Guliyev

Submitted to

Central European University

Department of Legal Studies

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Laws

Supervisor: Professor Miroslaw Wyrzykowski

Budapest, Hungary

2007

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS	I
ABSTRACT	П
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	III
INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 1 – SWEDISH OMBUDSMAN	6
1.1 HISTORY OF THE OMBUDSMAN	6
1.2 Legal Background	
1.2.1 The Constitution	
1.2.2 Riksdag Act	
1.2.3 Act with Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsmo	
1.2.3.1 Tasks	
1.2.3.2 Organization	12
1.2.3.3 Miscellaneous Regulations	
1.2.3.4 Complaints	14
1.2.3.5 General regulations about the treatment of cases	
1.3 SWEDISH ADMINISTRATIVE SYSTEM	
1.4 ACTIVITIES OF OMBUDSMAN	
1.4.1 Election	
1.4.2 Powers	
1.4.3 Relation with Municipalities	
1.4.4 Relation with Central Government	21
1.4.5 Relation with other institutions	21
1.4.5.1 Other Ombudsmen	
1.4.5.2 Prosecutors	
1.4.5.3 Judiciary	
1.4.6 Spheres of Activity	
1.4.6.1 Investigation of Individual Complaints	
1.4.6.2 Inspections	
1.4.6.3 Opinions of Ombudsman	
1.4.6.4 Relation with Press	
1	
1.4.8 Children's Ombudsman	
CHAPTER 2 – AZERI COMMISSIONER FOR HUMAN RIGHT	
2.1 HISTORY OF HUMAN RIGHTS	
2.2 MODERN OMBUDSMAN	
2.2.1 Situation of Human Rights	
2.2.2 Normative Legal Acts	46
2.2.2.1 Constitution	
2.2.2.2 Statute on Ombudsman	
2.2.3 Activities	54
CHAPTER 3 - RECOMMENDATIONS	57
3.1 PROBLEMS	57
3.2 Suggestions	61
CONCLUSION	64
RIRI IOCDADUV	67

### **ABSTRACT**

Research provides general information about the ombudsman institution in Azerbaijan and Sweden. Along with that comparison between them is carried out and special suggestions for improvement of the young Azeri Commissioner office.

Thesis adopted comparative and analytical approach when addressing the above mentioned issues.

Study concluded that there is a need for review of the statutory background of Commissioner in the light of Constitution of Azerbaijan. In order to increase the efficiency of the office it is necessary to make an independent comparative research on the institution and make amendment to the law. In that sense Swedish ombudsman can be a good example.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I want to express my special gratitude to my supervisor Professor Miroslaw Wyrzykowski for wide support he provided while writing this thesis and always being available. I also want to address my thanks to all my professors and entire CEU community for creating possibility and offering help to carry out this research.

### INTRODUCTION

One of the methods to enforce human rights and guarantee their effective protection is creation of ombudsman institution. Some of the countries had alike institutions even when they did not intend to create a special body to protect human rights. Censors of American colonies can serve as a good example. Some of the functions they performed came under the description of ombudspersons.

But the most efficient and the oldest ombudsman is that of Sweden. Its creation dates as back as early XVIII century. Rich historic experience makes modern Swedish and many other Scandinavian ombudspersons to be the most powerful and famous ones of that kind in the world.

My country- Azerbaijan is a newly independent state which strives to polish democracy. Therefore in the most natural way she needs to explore practical experience of other countries. As a result Commissioner for Human Rights of our own was established in 2001. During the past 6 years it managed to found local complaints centers in provinces and carry out some enlightenment programs on human rights field (such as organization of seminars, conferences and distribution of human rights-related brochures among the police), along with actual visits to the detention places.

However, there are still number of problems that need to be addressed. As the past 6 years' experience in my country shows, although there are certain achievements done still there is some distrust in this office. In my opinion it has two main reasons:

#### 1 -) Legislative Inefficiency:

The first and main ground for contemporary problems is the act itself which constituted the institution. As most of the other legal acts, it may be modeled on the

other similar legislations of different countries. Usually Russia and Turkey serve as a source of referral for our legislators, meaning that their law is being adopted with slight changes after translation. In their term the above stated countries take the Western legal system as a standard. But as the records about the preparation history of the law are not available it is hard to make certain conclusion.

This sort of practice is dangerous on the following grounds. First of all, it fails to take the local needs and specificities into account. These are not the only ones. Sometimes false translation is also a great problem.

### 2 -) Inadequate Theoretical Knowledge:

Second problem arises as a result of the lack of theoretical knowledge about the ombudsmen themselves. Public unawareness about the powers, jurisdiction area and kind of problems that Commissioner can solve is another reason for inefficiency of our ombudsman. Disregarding the importance of enlightenment campaign may cause distrust in the institution, or even vice versa- overload of office.

I am sure, if explored well enough, research on this topic can be quite useful for Azerbaijan. Drawing examples from my own experience and recalling the conclusions of one of my friends who made his internship at office of our ombudsman, I can say that this new institution needs studies that can address the public to inform them about ombudsmen.

My research aims at introduction of ombudsman institution in Sweden and Azerbaijan and their experience to wide audience in Azerbaijan. Research gives general description of ombudsmen in these countries and proposes possible recommendations for improvement of Azeri Commissioner for Human Rights office. The question that my research tries to answer is how ombudspersons operate in relevant states, what are the historical conditions that led to their emergence, what

are their functions, structure and successes. Their powers, immunities and privileges are also of interest.

However, as research aims at general public, but not specific legal audience, it does not go into the details of the topic, such as providing statistical data etc. As I stated above, I tried to give a general overview of the ombudsman institution. Further studies can be carried on, depending on the interest.

Thesis is divided into three chapters, first two devoted to each one of the compared countries and the third one drawing recommendations for new ombudsman in Azerbaijan.

The first chapter focuses on Swedish ombudsman, which was the first country to develop such institution. If I say that all other countries establishing ombudsmen took Sweden as an example, probably I would not be wrong. Research analyzes its structure, functions and powers.

Section A describes the historical background of the institution, while Section B deals with the legal background. Separate subsection is devoted to provisions of Swedish Constitution, Riksdag Act and Act with Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsman. The latter subsection in its part is divided into five sub-subsections, each addressing accordingly topics about tasks, organization, miscellaneous regulations, complaints and general regulations about the treatment of cases.

Section C describes the unique position of ombudsman in Swedish administrative system, since it is hard to understand the importance of the institution without that.

The last part of the chapter- Section D, I devoted to the activities of the ombudsman. I needed to start with the subsections devoted to election and powers of the office as these topics were not addressed in the subsection on Act with

Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsman. Reason for that, I used different source for each of these three subsections.

In the subsequent subsections I pointed out the relations between ombudsman and municipalities and central government, separately.

Subsection 4 in its term is about the relations of ombudsman with other actors, such as further specialized ombudsmen, prosecutors and judiciary.

Subsection 5 lists the ombudsman's spheres of activity, being investigation of individual complaints, inspections, issuance of official opinions and interaction with media.

In Subsection 6 I underlined the importance and need for the institution.

Lastly, the 7<sup>th</sup> subsection is describing Children's Ombudsman. As it is relatively new establishment, I felt necessary to devote a special subsection to him.

The second chapter describes Commissioner of Human Rights in Azerbaijan. The Constitutional Act of 2001, which established the ombudsman institution, is the main referral. It is analyzed in light of Constitution. Weaknesses and strengths of the act are discussed. Other than that possible suggestions are made towards solution of the current problems.

I began with history of human rights in Azerbaijan in Section A and then proceeded to the modern institution of ombudsman in Section B. This section is also starting with brief subsection dedicated to the human rights record in my country and then discussion develops with the subsequent subsection on the legal background of the office. Separate sub-subsections are assigned to Constitution and Statute on Ombudsman. The latter in its part is divided into thematic groups about the office such as general overview, appointment, independence and material support. In the

third subsection I discussed about the actual practice of institution since its establishment.

The third, the last, chapter is called Recommendations and is divided into two sections, which respectively concentrate on problems and suggestions to solve them. The actual comparison between Swedish and Azeri ombudsmen takes part in that chapter, as the first two are in descriptive character. In Section A I tried to identify the problems emanating from the Statue itself and while listing them, I drew examples from Swedish experience as a possible way of solution. In Section B, whereas, I made general comments based on my observations, how to improve the institution.

I hope this thesis will contribute positively to the work done in Azerbaijan regarding the ombudsman. Because only limited research has been carried on this topic. One of the resources that I used, Azeri Commissioner for Human Rights written by Reha Yilmaz, one of the professors at my home university, is thought to be one of the leading literatures on the subject. Although a valuable and one of the very first writings in Azerbaijan, it still lacks well-rounded approach to the office of Swedish ombudsman.

In that sense I hope my work will not only deepen the awareness about Commissioner for Human Rights, but it will introduce Swedish ombudsmen as the successful example. In future I am planning to translate my work into Azeri and publish it.

### CHAPTER 1 – SWEDISH OMBUDSMAN

### 1.1 History of the Ombudsman

The Swedish ombudsman institution dates back to early XVIII century, when Swedish king Charles XII was involved in war with Russia<sup>1</sup>. As most of the time during the war he was away from the country, he ordered to create a special ombudsman-Chancellor of Justice<sup>2</sup> to watch over the royal administration<sup>3</sup>.

In 1809 a new constitution of Sweden was "...hastily composed during a period of domestic and international strife.<sup>4</sup>" It introduced a special ombudsman of parliament<sup>5</sup> as a response to that of monarch's<sup>6</sup>.

According to Jägerskiöld, origins of contemporary Swedish office of Special Parliamentary Commissioner for the Judiciary and the Civil Administration (*Justitieombudsmannaambetet*) date as back as to 1809 constitution<sup>7</sup>. Those days founding fathers influenced by Montesquieu and Locke tried *to create "a governing power, active within predetermined forms ..., a legislative power wisely avoiding haste in action but firm and strong in resistance, and a judicial power independent under the laws but not dominant over them.<sup>8</sup>"* 

As the same author points out:

[It seems even more likely that the office of Civil Ombudsman found the prototype for its peculiar characteristics in the Attorney General (Justitiekansler), a domestic official who was empowered to supervise the application of the law by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Walter Gellhorn, *Ombudsmen and Others Citizens' Protectors in Nine Countries*, section 5, 194, (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1967)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> He can be named as Attorney General (Justitiekansler) too.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id* 195

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Another name for it is Special Parliamentary Commissioner for the Judiciary and the Civil Administration (Justitieombudsmannaambetet)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Stig Jägerskiöld, *The Swedish Ombudsman*, 109 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1077 (June, 1961) WL 109 UPALR 1077 <sup>8</sup> *Id* 

judges and other officials and whose office had long been a part of the royal administration[sic<sup>9</sup>]

During XVIII century Estates of Realm tried to gain the supervision over the Attorney General. As a result parliament managed to make him submit report in 1739 and eventually have him appointed by itself during the period from 1766 to 1772, which were the years of struggle between monarch and Estates of Realm<sup>10</sup>.

Despite of these few successes finally parliament understood that it was more useful for it to appoint a special ombudsman of its own, because finally privilege of Attorney General's appointment passed to the king, making attorney's authority to make prosecutions dangerous weapon in arsenal of monarchy<sup>11</sup>.

Finally, one can conclude that, historically Sweden had two ombudsmen, one appointed by absolute monarch and the other one chosen by those days parliament (Estates of Realm) as discussed in above paragraphs.

#### 1.2 Legal Background

#### 1.2.1 The Constitution

Provisions concerning ombudsman take part in constitution of the country. According to them he is "...the independent prosecutor of judges and officials..." (Articles 96- 100) and "...prosecutor in actions of impeachment brought by the Parliament..." (Art.101-106)<sup>12</sup>

Provisions about ombudsman take part in the chapter 12 of the Swedish constitution, namely The Instrument of Government<sup>13</sup>.

<sup>10</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Swedish Constitution, Instrument of Government, Chapter 12, Art. 6 (Commissioner for Civil Rights Protection of Poland, National Ombudsmen Collection of Legislation from 27 Countries, 294, Warsaw, 1998)

Article 6 provides for election of ombudsman by the parliament. Parliament can elect more than one ombudsman as will be discussed in other parts of this research. Constitution empowers ombudsman with right to prosecute<sup>14</sup>.

The same article goes further granting him a full access to all sort of information<sup>15</sup>, except few others that are essential for national security.

Prosecutors are supposed to support him in his investigations<sup>16</sup>.

Election of the ombudsman is regulated by the Article 68 of the Parliament Act of 1866<sup>17</sup>. There are some other legal acts passed by parliament and approved by the king that regulate the ombudsman's affairs. One must note that constitutional acts are hard to change in Sweden and so these laws firmly establish ombudsman institution<sup>18</sup>.

Articles of constitution refer the further regulation of the issue to Riksdag Act.

### 1.2.2 Riksdag Act

According to this act, parliament- Riksdag shall have four ombudsmen<sup>19</sup>. Three of them are regular Parliamentary Ombudsmen and one Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman; the latter is also an Administrative Director of the Office of the Ombudsmen<sup>20</sup>.

There are differences in terms of appointment too. While Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman is elected separately, there is no such a special requirement for other three ombudsmen<sup>21</sup>. But they are supposed to be elected individually<sup>22</sup>.

<sup>15</sup> *Id* 

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>Id$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Jägerskiöld, *supra* note 7, at 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The Riksdag Act, Chapter 8- Certain Officials and Bodies, Article 10 (Commissioner for Civil Rights Protection of Poland, National Ombudsmen Collection of Legislation from 27 Countries, 295, Warsaw, 1998) <sup>20</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> *Id* 

Ombudsmen are elected for four years term<sup>23</sup>. However, Riksdag- the parliament can "...relieve of his mandate prior to that time an Ombudsman who has forfeited the confidence of the Riksdag<sup>24</sup>."

If ombudsman retires, then parliament elects new one, but if he ceases to perform his duties because of some temporary disability then parliament elects some new one for only that period of time<sup>25</sup>.

In the subsequent article of the same act legislator lists the officials that are free from the Ombudsman's prosecution<sup>26</sup>:

Members of Riksdag Board of Administration, the Election Review Committee, the Riksdag Complaints Board, Parliamentary Ombudsman and Clerk of the Chamber are prosecuted only by the Committee on the Constitution<sup>27</sup>.

Although ombudsman can prosecute most of the officials, he can not prosecute all of them. In its term, his own prosecution is levied only to Committee on the Constitution.

### 1.2.3 Act with Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsman

#### 1.2.3.1 Tasks

Riksdag Act is not the only piece of legislation that regulates the activity of ombudsman.

Act with Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsman defines the tasks of ombudsman in details<sup>28</sup>. But prior to that, it lists the below stated, in the scope of his supervision:

<sup>24</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> *Id* Art.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Act with Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsman, *Tasks*, November 13'th, 1986 (Commissioner for Civil Rights Protection of Poland, National Ombudsmen Collection of Legislation from 27 Countries, 296-303, at 296, Warsaw, 1998)

[1.state and municipal authorities,

- 2. officials and other employees of these authorities,
- 3. **other individuals** whose employment or assignment involves the exercise of public authority, insofar as this aspect of such activity is concerned,
- 4. officials and **those employed by public enterprises**, while carrying on, on behalf of such an enterprise, activities in which through the agency of the enterprise the Government exercises decisive influence.<sup>29</sup>]

What is worth of attention in this excerpt of legislation is the instance that not only officials can fall under ombudsman's supervision. Private individuals without being officially employed by government can be supervised too. As long as either they exercise public authority or are left under government supervision during their activities. So eventually, employees who are not supervised by government and act independently on their own are excluded<sup>30</sup>.

The act identifies the supervision area for Military Ombudsman as well. All military officers with the rank of second-lieutenant and above, or their corresponding, fall under his monitoring<sup>31</sup>.

Act also reminds the list of officials whom ombudsman's wide supervisory power *does not* extend to. Other than the ones discussed previously<sup>32</sup>, one can add the followings too to the list of high-rank officials who are out of the ombudsman's scope:

- a-) Members of the Board of the Riksbank;
- b-) The governor of the Riksbank and his deputies<sup>33</sup>;

But this is true for the above mentioned unless they exercise their powers in accordance with the Regulation of Currency and Credit Act of 1992.

<sup>30</sup> See supra note 26, at 9

<sup>29</sup> L

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> *Id* 

Article 4 of the concerned Act not only privileges the ombudsman with making legislative proposals (which seems controversial to some officials), but adopts a language that makes such recommendations part of the ombudsman's job<sup>34</sup>. Parliamentary Ombudsmen are supposed to consult to the Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman before making recommendations.

According to Article 5, prosecutions brought by ombudsman before the district courts, can be forwarded to the Supreme Court only in exceptional instances<sup>35</sup>.

In minor breaches, when the wrong may be corrected through disciplinary action, ombudsman can ask the superior of the erred public servant to take appropriate measures about him<sup>36</sup>.

The interesting provision of law is that it empowers ombudsman taking the case to relevant authorities, if he discovers that the private medical or other practitioner does not meet the requirements sited in his certificate<sup>37</sup>. Ombudsman can even ask disqualification of that person<sup>38</sup>.

Ombudsman holds a right to present in the hearing of such case, make own inquiries and involve in oral debates<sup>39</sup>.

Article 7 authorizes ombudsman to bring the decision to the court of law for amendment, even if decision taken by the official's authority is against the opinion released by him<sup>40</sup>. It is true for the above mentioned certified medical and dental practitioners and others<sup>41</sup>. Act refers detailed regulation of the problem to specific laws.

<sup>35</sup> *Id* 298

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Id 297

In case if the official who got a decision against himself, decides to apply to the court then ombudsman is supposed to represent the public interest in the trial<sup>42</sup>. Article 10 is interesting in a sense that it not only authorizes, but *obliges* ombudsmen to prosecute Ministers, "...officials within Riksdag or its agencies decided by committees of the Riksdag, in accordance with the regulations... 43".

However ombudsmen can not prosecute each other<sup>44</sup>.

#### 1.2.3.2 Organization

The same act regulates the organizational aspects of the ombudsman's work as well<sup>45</sup>.

So, it is the Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman who allocates the work of other three ombudsmen<sup>46</sup>.

Ombudsman's work administered his secretariat is by (Ombudsmannaexpedition)<sup>47</sup>. He is also the one who employs administrative directors and heads of divisions<sup>48</sup>.

Other employees, as referees and experts, are appointed directly by the Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman, who also assigns their duties<sup>49</sup>.

It is worth to note how Swedish system achieves checks and balances within ombudsman's office. Although appointed by the secretariat, administrative directors control its activities<sup>50</sup>. At the same time administrative directors are subordinates of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Id 299

<sup>43</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Act with Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsman, *Organizations*, November 13'th, 1986 (Commissioner for Civil Rights Protection of Poland, National Ombudsmen Collection of Legislation from 27 Countries, 296-303, at 300, Warsaw, 1998)

<sup>46</sup> *Id*47 *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> *Id*  $^{50}Id$ 

Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman and are supposed to aid him by any means<sup>51</sup>. Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman issues regulations about the activity of these directors<sup>52</sup>. In its term he is to consult Committee on the Constitution on organizational matters, whenever it is needed<sup>53</sup>.

#### 1.2.3.3 Miscellaneous Regulations

Article 28 rules that administrative directors can also appoint the officers if such a power delegated to them by Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman<sup>54</sup>.

Regarding the workload, Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman can either distribute it among his staff or take himself or assign to one of the three ombudsmen<sup>55</sup>. But even if he assigns work to his staff he may refuse to grant a right to issue a decision in the name of Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman<sup>56</sup>.

Act touches to the substitution of the Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman too. If he absents for a short period of time, the ombudsman "... with the longest period of service is to act as his deputy<sup>57</sup>." If they are equal in terms of service then the older one becomes the deputy<sup>58</sup>. In case if absence of Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman is long then it shall be reported to the parliament<sup>59</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Act with Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsman, *Miscellaneous Regulations*, November 13'th, 1986 (Commissioner for Civil Rights Protection of Poland, National Ombudsmen Collection of Legislation from 27 Countries, 296-303, at 303, Warsaw, 1998)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> *Id* <sup>56</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> *Id* 

#### 1.2.3.4 Complaints

When it comes to complaints, according to Article 17, they must be in written<sup>60</sup>. Additionally complaints must fulfill the below stated conditions, by containing:

- a-) authority that it is complained about
- b-) subject matter of the complaint
- c-) date of the alleged harm<sup>61</sup>
- d-) name and address of the complainant<sup>62</sup>

Consideration of the complaint can be vested in some other authority only if it has not viewed it yet and it holds competence to do that<sup>63</sup>.

Ombudsman is supposed to inform the complainant immediately about the status of his complaint<sup>64</sup>. Cases are always closed by oral presentations by the ombudsman's staff<sup>65</sup>.

#### 1.2.3.5 General regulations about the treatment of cases

Article 21 gives ombudsman right to fine wrongdoing official<sup>66</sup>.

Ombudsman can vest his powers in some other person<sup>67</sup>, in cases if he decides to prosecute. That person may represent him before the court<sup>68</sup>.

Article 24 concludes the regulations about the consideration of complaints, by requiring special register of the all viewed cases<sup>69</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Act with Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsman, *Complaints*, November 13'th, 1986 (Commissioner for Civil Rights Protection of Poland, National Ombudsmen Collection of Legislation from 27 Countries, 296-303, at 301, Warsaw, 1998)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Id According to Art.20 ombudsman shall not view the complaints older than two or more years, unless there is a serious reason. 62 *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> *Id* 302

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> See Art.23 Id 303

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Act with Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsman, General regulation about the treatment of cases, November 13'th, 1986 (Commissioner for Civil Rights Protection of Poland, National Ombudsmen Collection of Legislation from 27 Countries, 296-303, at 302, Warsaw, 1998)

Representative is chosen among his staff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Id 303

Miscellaneous regulations of the same act necessitate filing this register journal along with annual report and all other written records to the Committee on Constitution too, when ombudsmen submit their reports to the Riksdag<sup>70</sup>.

Transparency concerns are embedded in the Article 26. It obliges that ombudsman's secretariat be open to the public during entire special hours decided by the Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman<sup>71</sup>. Visitors can ask for the issuance of the documents that they are interested in. Such issuances are supposed to be free of charge<sup>72</sup>.

### 1.3 Swedish Administrative System

Jägerskiöld reminds that it is hard to understand the need for ombudsman without reviewing the history of development of Swedish administration, "...which developed differently from either continental absolutism or Anglo-American parliamentarianism in the 18th century...<sup>73</sup>" Difference is concluded in a fact that Sweden is governed by rule of law but it is achieved through bureaucracy.

In Gellhorn's opinion too, since Ombudsman is a part of Swedish administration, in order to get a clearer picture of it; it is useful to take a brief look at the whole system. Although there is a monarch in Sweden it has been already a long time that executive branch of the government is *de facto* run by Councilors of State under the parliament supervision, which are characterized as a cabinet ministers but only foreign affairs and prime minister have such a title<sup>74</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> See supra Miscellaneous Regulations, p.303

 $<sup>^{71}</sup>$  Id

<sup>72</sup> I.d

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Jägerskiöld, *supra* note 7, at 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Gellhorn, *supra* note 4, at 6

In fact Swedish ministries are a supervision boards without large departments, these ministries have only few hundred employees<sup>75</sup>. But more important, ministries are not supposed to command, but supervise<sup>76</sup>. Ministries serve as bodies which allocate the funds for their subordinate administrative bodies and hear the appeals, but they carry political responsibility for their subordinates<sup>77</sup>.

Appeals are heard by the King in Council, more important ones are viewed in intra-cabinet meetings, where ministers have more time and opportunity to get a professional consulting<sup>78</sup>.

Two-chambered Swedish parliament, with its 382 members has a power to approve and when necessary amend the government-ministry proposals how to improve the running of public sector<sup>79</sup>. It can either ask the relevant ministry to form an investigation commission or the ministry can form such a commission on its own. Individual administrators and administrative acts can not serve as the subject matter of investigation; it is prohibited by the constitution. Parliament can only discuss the general principles behind those acts<sup>80</sup>.

More important these commissions are not expected to be the criticizers of the administration, but rather they try to consider the reasons of problem and suggestions how to solve it81. Usually such suggestions are finalized after several years<sup>82</sup>.

One of the interesting features of Swedish public administration is that every public servant is presumed to be free to apply the legal acts in a way how he

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Id 196 <sup>76</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Id 197

believes the Law is supposed to be83. Eventually sometimes there appear to be problems of interpretation. In such instances parliament privileges the king- his cabinet of ministers, to provide the uniform interpretation<sup>84</sup>. Subordinate civil servants who refuse to comply with it can be disciplined, but the ultimate punishment- removal from the office can be done only after official trial before the courts of law<sup>85</sup>.

The above-stated is true for the Swedish judiciary too. There is no principle of stare decisis, meaning that each judge is free to apply the Law in a way how he understands it<sup>86</sup>. This implies his personal responsibility for the correctness of the decision.

When it comes to Supreme Prosecutor, he tries to harmonize and coordinate the activities of other prosecutors from the capital. But even he is more like a counselor than commander<sup>87</sup>.

Despite the lack of existence of strict supervision Swedish administration functions well thanks to well-educated, conscientious public servants; less inclination to dissent; detailed travaux préparatoires; transparency; issuance of regulation and appeals to the King in Council<sup>88</sup>.

Special tribunals also exist to help in dealing with such appeals<sup>89</sup>. Their decisions are highly respected and followed by lower bodies<sup>90</sup>. Ordinary courts are different from these tribunals in a way that, they can only apply penal law to administrators in response to "breach of duty".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> *Id* 199

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Id 199

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Id 200

<sup>89</sup> Supreme Administrative Court and Supreme Court for Social Insurance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> *Id* 201

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> *Id* 

Judges and high-rank civil servants can be tried before the Appeals Court. Judges of Court of Appeals and some heads of Central Administrative Boards can be tried before the Supreme Court. Finally, when it comes to ministers, Supreme Court and Supreme Administrative Court judges they can be tried before Special Court of Impeachment<sup>92</sup>.

#### As Gellhorn put it:

[O]ombudsman fits into this system of individual instead of institutional [sic] responsibility simply as a prosecutor who can proceed... before the tribunals authorized to mete out punishment.<sup>93</sup>]

#### 1.4 Activities of Ombudsman

#### 1.4.1 Election

Swedish ombudsman is chosen by forty eight electors, twenty four from each chamber of the parliament, in a matter of fifteen days, which necessitates partisan negotiations prior to selection<sup>94</sup>. Nominees are usually career judges, "who are not supposed to distinguish the office, but office distinguishes him<sup>95</sup>."

Requirements for both civil and military ombudsman are briefly and openly set up in the constitution. Due to constitution candidates are supposed to be of *known legal ability and outstanding integrity*<sup>96</sup>.

Ombudsmen serve for four years and can be re-elected, but it is less likely that the same person gets elected for more than three times. Salary of the ombudsman is equal to that of Supreme Court judge.

Ombudsman is responsible only before the First Law Commission of the parliament. Commission's chairman is always appointed from the opposition. Usually

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Id 202

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> *Id* 

<sup>94</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> *Id* 203

<sup>96</sup> Jägerskiöld, supra note 7, at 6

reports are viewed by the secretary of the commission- young judge on temporary assignment.

#### **1.4.2 Powers**

Ombudsmen are to monitor the administration "...in the capacity of representatives of Parliament...<sup>97</sup>" According to Jägerskiöld, this monitoring comprises of "...the observance of laws and statutes as applied in all other matters by the courts and by public officials and employees...<sup>98</sup>"

What is more interesting ombudsmen are subject to the same obligations with the regular public prosecutors.

Ombudsman's powers are limited with supervision of observance of law by the civil servants. According to parliament's instructions: "... [Supervision] does not include control over what judges or administrators do.<sup>99</sup>" He can not order, reverse or direct reopening of cases and reconsideration of judgments<sup>100</sup>. Powers of ombudsman are limited with in the most serious instances prosecution for breach of duty. In less serious cases he can call for disciplinary measures<sup>101</sup>. But in fact ombudsman usually relies on reminders, rather than prosecution. It is explained by educational advantages of reminders over prosecutions<sup>102</sup>.

However, ombudsman has a wide access to most data and he can question most of the civil servants anytime he deems proper. Moreover he has a right to be

8 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Gellhorn, p. 205

<sup>100</sup> Ld

<sup>101 7 1</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> *Id* 206

present in court and administrative body deliberations 103. But ombudsman does not have a right to express his views or vote.

Ombudsman can not prosecute Councilors of State<sup>104</sup>, review the decision taken by King in Council, intrude into affairs of government sponsored economic corporations and municipalities 105. But there is no need to exhaust other remedies before applying to ombudsman.

### 1.4.3 Relation with Municipalities

Traditionally municipalities were thought to be out of the scope of ombudsman's jurisdiction. But since 1957 they have been also included into the ombudsmen's empire. The reason for that was the ever increasing number of services carried out by them that pertained to the functions of government<sup>106</sup>.

So since 1957 he holds such a right, with exception that he can not supervise the elected members of local governments and can not take any cases that fall under the jurisdiction of municipalities<sup>107</sup>. The usual areas that are covered by ombudsman are the followings:

- a-) cases rising out of Children's Welfare Act
- b-) cases concerning implementation of Alcohol Act
- c-) building and housing 108

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> See supra note 43, at 12, where Art.10 of Act with Instructions for the Parliamentary Ombudsman of November 13'th 1986 obliges prosecution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> Id 207

 $<sup>^{106}</sup>$  Jägerskiöld, supra note 7, at 6

<sup>107</sup> Gellhorn, p. 207

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> Jägerskiöld, *supra* note 7, at 6

#### 1.4.4 Relation with Central Government

Not only certain activities of local governments fall outside the jurisdiction of ombudsmen, but the same is true about the central government as well.

Ombudsmen can not supervise government corporations and ministries<sup>109</sup>.

Jägerskiöld underlines that this exemption does not have as much significance as in other parliamentary systems because supervision over Swedish bureaucracy is achieved not by particular ministry but by entire government:

[Thus, except in those cases in which appeal from an administrative ruling can be taken to the Government, the Ombudsman has clear jurisdiction over the highest officials who may decide a matter.<sup>110</sup>]

But one should keep in mind that even the author himself recognizes that most administrative cases can be appealed to the government and when it happens ombudsmen are totally excluded from the viewing the case<sup>111</sup>.

What if there is a breach of duty which consideration falls under the jurisdiction of government, but there is no motion, Jägerskiöld goes asking<sup>112</sup>. He answers the question with his own findings:

According to his findings early ombudsmen did not hesitate to prosecute wrongs that otherwise would be viewed by the government. But the next generation of ombudsmen tried to avoid all possible conflicts with government<sup>113</sup>.

#### 1.4.5 Relation with other institutions

#### 1.4.5.1 Other Ombudsmen

Ombudsman is not the only supervisor over the Swedish civil servants- there are some others too. Attorney General and number of regular public prosecutors also watch the observance of rule of law.

110 *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> *Id* 

<sup>111</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> *Id* 

<sup>113</sup> *Id* 

Chancellor of Justice (Justitiekanzler) is the modern remnant of the ombudsman of King Charles XII, established in 1713<sup>114</sup>. Having its roots back in the XVIII century tradition of absolute monarchy, even now Chancellor of Justice is not accountable before the parliament 115. Nor is he accountable before the cabinet of ministers<sup>116</sup>. Both *Justitieombudsmannen* and *Justitiekanzler* have their offices structured in similar way, moreover their functions and jurisdiction areas are identical<sup>117</sup>.

In addition to the fact that both officials' powers overlap with each other, there is no legislative act to avoid conflict or regulate the relationship between them either<sup>118</sup>.

So the way how these two decide how and by whom the complaint should be handled is just through negotiations and compromise<sup>119</sup>. Usually the one receiving the complaint first handles it 120. Only in rare occasions both of them receive the complaint simultaneously and even if this happens to be the case then they solve the conflict behind the lunch table or just by a phone call 121.

As Jägerskiöld says, it is not the main problem:

[A more complicated question is raised by the existence of a negative conflict of competence, that is, by the Ombudsman's discovery in the course of his investigation that the fault which he has found does not fall within his jurisdiction. 122]

According to Jägerskiöld, Constitution trying to solve the conflict of jurisdictions, ruled that ombudsman can refer the case to Attorney General, which in fact rarely happens<sup>123</sup>.

<sup>116</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> Gellhorn, p 232

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> Id 233

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> Gellhorn, p. 233

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> Jägerskiöld, *supra* note 7, at 6

In 1915 a Military Ombudsman (Militieombudsman) was established to watch the observance of law in military and by civil servants being paid from the military budget<sup>124</sup>. Military Ombudsman is identical to the ombudsman, with respect to powers and functions, except the field of activity<sup>125</sup>. He too, has a power to prosecute<sup>126</sup>.

Unlike ombudsman Military Ombudsman's work is mainly concentrated on field trips and inspections, rather than individual complaints<sup>127</sup>.

There is no field of public administration that is left outside the scope of these two ombudsmen's supervision<sup>128</sup>. Legislation strictly draws a line between their areas of jurisdiction so there is less likelihood that a conflict emerges between them<sup>129</sup>.

#### 1.4.5.2 Prosecutors

Ombudsman is similar to prosecutors in a way that both offices have a power to prosecute<sup>130</sup>. Nevertheless ombudsman is different from prosecutors in two ways:

First of all, prosecutors enforce the penal law, whereas ombudsman is responsible for the observance of all sort of legislation.

Secondly, prosecutor's geographical area of activity is usually limited to certain district<sup>131</sup>. But ombudsman has a supervisory power over all public servants throughout the nation<sup>132</sup>.

 $<sup>^{123}</sup>Id$ 

<sup>124</sup> Gellhorn, p. 234

 $<sup>^{125}</sup>Id$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> Id

<sup>127</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> Id 235

 $<sup>^{131}</sup>Id$ 

 $<sup>^{132}</sup>Id$ 

One of the differences between ombudsman and prosecutors is that, the former is not strictly bound by legality principle to prosecute, whereas the latter is supposed to do that whenever he discovers the break of law 133. Reminders are the most used weapons of ombudsman<sup>134</sup>.

However, in fact prosecutors also do that. If they find the case minor they usually do not prosecute, but instead try to correct the wrong by a phone call 135. On the other hand it is not welcomed by the Supreme Prosecutor<sup>136</sup>.

Also different from ombudsman prosecutors enjoy wide police support in their investigations<sup>137</sup>. Even until 1965 the practice as performance of chief of police by prosecutors was wide spread<sup>138</sup>.

As it is seen above both prosecutors and ombudsman have the similar jurisdiction. Despite of this fact, the stirring between these two is not usual 139. The one who receives the complaint first deals with it 140. Also prosecutors are less likely to seek a problem with ombudsman<sup>141</sup>. After all, they are under his supervision  $too^{142}$ .

Finally it would be useful to remind Jägerskiöld's observation that Ombudsman can perform duties of prosecutor too. He is supposed to act as a prosecutor in the actions of impeachment brought by the Constitutional Committee of Parliament against members of the Supreme Court, the Supreme Administrative Court, or the Council of State<sup>143</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> Id 236

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> Id 237

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Jägerskiöld, *supra* note 7, at 6

#### 1.4.5.3 Judiciary

But prosecutors are not the only officials that fall under ombudsman's supervision. The same rule applies to judges too 144. Although it may seem to an outsider that such a control undermines independence of judiciary, the Swedish judiciary has not suffered from it yet. It is true that some judges complain about the ombudsman's over concentration in small details while his inspections; they don't express concerns about threats to their independence.

As one of the old judges pointed out correctly, Swedish judiciary has "...grown up in this system. 145" Ombudsmen's are being generally elected out of career judges is a good example of already systematized relationship between ombudsman and judiciary.

Additionally, ombudsman does not have a power to check the content of the decision, which may raise the independence concerns<sup>146</sup>. Ombudsman only checks to see if judge complied with the law when taking a decision 147. This does not amount to an infringement with judge's authority.

Still there may be some tension between the judge and ombudsman<sup>148</sup>. This tension may originate out of the simple fact that sometimes judges also may want to know that ombudsman makes mistakes too as a common human being 149.

In one of such occasions ombudsman found an error in court's decision, which in the end turned out to be correct<sup>150</sup>. As a result public treasury suffered

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> Gellhorn, p.237

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> Id 238

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> Id 239

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> *Id* 

<sup>150</sup> *Id* 

damage<sup>151</sup>. This example is a good one for those who believe that ombudsman can err too.

Nonetheless the most interesting finding for me is the fact that ombudsman reported the event to the parliament and admitted his mistake<sup>152</sup>. He also paid the loss from his own purse<sup>153</sup>.

"The second area in which the Ombudsman acts as prosecutor concerns faults of judges and officials other than members of the Council of State. 154" writes Jägerskiöld. According to the author ombudsman can not condemn the judge before the parliament, who turned back the case which ombudsman found wrong, as it would obviously amount to intrusion into independence of judiciary. Although there is a possibility for prosecution of wronged judge, according to Jägerskiöld, this had never had happened 155.

Indeed just only a mere possibility of prosecution puts a burden heavy enough on the officials. So usually they correct the mistakes they made immediately.

### 1.4.6 Spheres of Activity

#### 1.4.6.1 Investigation of Individual Complaints

Although, ombudsman is free to initiate his investigation by information got from wide range of sources, such as news, personal conversation etc., in most of the cases he acts upon individual complaints<sup>156</sup>.

According to Gellhorn, Swedish ombudsman is less effective in taxation and welfare state related problems<sup>157</sup>.

 $^{152}Id$ 

 $<sup>^{151}</sup>Id$ 

<sup>153 &</sup>lt;sub>T</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> Jägerskiöld, *supra* note 7, at 6

<sup>155</sup> Ld

<sup>156</sup> Gellhorn, p. 208

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> *Id* 209

Private complaints can come from different sources (some people may express their anger by filing a complaint) and be even in obscure language. In general, ombudsman gets the complaints with minor importances which sometimes tend to be a bit hypersensitive<sup>158</sup>. No wonder that usually "...90 percent of the complaints are later found to be unsound...<sup>159</sup>"

But ombudsman investigates each complaint carefully, trying to extract the valuable part out of such complaints<sup>160</sup>. After getting acquainted with the letter, ombudsman forwards the complaint letter to Chief of the Office, who must be an experienced employee in the office. In his term Chief of the Office considers whether it is necessary to ask the concerned official to file the relevant documents to ombudsman or not<sup>161</sup>. Cases that can be dealt at once are forwarded to Deputy Ombudsman and others to the staff members. As soon as the staff members are done with processing the complaint it is either sent to Deputy Ombudsman or ombudsman himself. If there is a need to interview the concerned official, it is the ombudsman himself, who contacts them personally, but not staff members<sup>162</sup>. In some cases ombudsman asked administrators and judges to provide explanation for their decisions. Because if had they done so, he would not have received the complaint<sup>163</sup>.

Ombudsman also explains the reasons for inadmissibility of the complaint to the complainant, which requires hard work<sup>164</sup>. In other Scandinavian countries however, inadmissible complaints are forwarded to the concerned government

<sup>158</sup> LA 217

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> Id 228

 $<sup>^{160}</sup>$  Id 21.

<sup>161</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> Id 212

<sup>163</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> Id 213

bodies which in their term explain the complainant the reasons of their decision<sup>165</sup>. The latter seems to be more advantageous as it avoids unnecessary workload for ombudsman and time-consuming communications between him and the official body.

Although ombudsman's main purpose is observance of the compliance with law, but not immediate satisfaction of complaints, if they prove to be grounded then ombudsman uses all the means available to him to remedy the damage done to the individual. Interestingly, when violation of right is proved to happen, but perpetrator can't be found ombudsman "[S]uggests that the government should pay the damages out of the public purse...<sup>166</sup>"

Even more interesting is the fact that ombudsman sometimes gives reminders to public servants when they behave rudely with the complainant. There is no need for pecuniary loss, disrespectful behavior is not welcomed. In one of the cases ombudsman reminded that "There is no reason why a judge cannot behave like a gentleman."

#### 1.4.6.2 Inspections

There are other fields of ombudsman's activity too, such as field trips. Ombudsman spends six weeks annually for investigatory travels across the country<sup>168</sup>. These inspections are being carried out suddenly, with a quick reminder just before the investigation or none at all<sup>169</sup>. All government bodies under jurisdiction of ombudsman, including courts, may be inspected. These inspections

105 I

<sup>165</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> *Id* 214

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> Id 216

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup> *Id* 218

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> *Id* 

are about reviewing of official files and also personal questioning of the public servants<sup>170</sup>.

Although inspections carry undeniable significance, distant corners of the country are less likely to be inspected often<sup>171</sup>. Moreover according to Gellhorn there are certain industries where: "...inspections by the Ombudsman are virtually unheard of...<sup>172</sup>" And among inspected ones there are some that need more frequent visits than others<sup>173</sup>.

However, the main shortcoming of the ombudsman inspections is it's over concentration on paperwork and small details<sup>174</sup>. As a result speed of the work done slows down<sup>175</sup>.

As usual inspections reveal minor mistakes<sup>176</sup>. Probably it can be explained by professional administration of law by Swedish public servants. Although some officials may not agree with inspector as a matter of respect they follow his recommendations<sup>177</sup>. Moreover, it is much easier for them to cope with the instructions rather than challenge them<sup>178</sup>.

Nevertheless inspections by ombudsman and his team are useful to "watch the watchman<sup>179</sup>." As one Swedish judge put it: "[Ombudsman] was a supervisory shadow...<sup>180</sup>"

... Id

<sup>170</sup> **7** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> Id 219

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>173</sup> Id 221

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>174</sup> Id 224

<sup>175</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>176</sup> Id 219

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>177</sup>Id 221

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>179</sup> Id 220

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup> Id 226

#### 1.4.6.3 Opinions of Ombudsman

Although, ombudsman avoids expressing his personal opinion about the cases currently dealt with, meaning to give an instructions how to handle them, in some cases ombudsman provided his advice to the judges or other civil servants that ask him whether he had any case before similar to the one before them<sup>181</sup>.

As Gellhorn correctly points it out: "It serves as a species of preventive therapy, for it encourages uniform statutory interpretation and the utilization of correct procedures."

These advices are only general guidelines, but not specific directives. Still they aim at making officials pay more attention to the points that were previously ignored<sup>183</sup>.

#### 1.4.6.4 Relation with Press

Media and press serve as another, additional source of information for ombudsman to generate his investigation<sup>184</sup>. In the other hand, ombudsman's activity can be a good source of news for press too. According to Swedish legislation, to attain transparency most of the government documents are open to public<sup>185</sup>. Therefore around one third of the incoming complaints are picked up by the news reporter who visits the ombudsman's office on daily basis<sup>186</sup>.

Yet not everyone is happy about the partnership between ombudsman and the press. Public servants are especially worried about it, because complaints

 $<sup>^{181}</sup>Id\ 231$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup> Id 23

<sup>183</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>184</sup> Id 227

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup> *Id* 228

<sup>186</sup> Id

illustrated in news-papers may well damage their professional reputation, even if complaints prove to be groundless in future<sup>187</sup>.

Ombudsman aware of the problem tried to produce a solution for that. Compromise between news agencies and professional organizations was supposed to serve this purpose<sup>188</sup>.

But that proposal left without due interest. News agencies argued that they do not publish that controversial news without giving the chance to concerned official to justify himself<sup>189</sup>.

They went further arguing that special "court of honor" exists to view the complaints<sup>190</sup>. "Court" is composed of Supreme Court justices, journalists and publishers<sup>191</sup>. Even if it lacks power to grant the remedy, courts decisions enjoy high respect<sup>192</sup>.

Another disadvantage of the news reports is that they may have discouraging effect over the potential complainants, as they may be unwilling to have their names displayed in newspapers<sup>193</sup>. But usually Swedish press tries to avoid describing the person involved in especially shaming events, such as related to drug or alcohol addicts etc<sup>194</sup>.

### 1.4.7 Importance of the Institution

Relying on Gellhorn's research, all of those judges (and most probably other public servants too) who encountered a relation with ombudsman expressed the

<sup>188</sup> *Id* 229

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> *Id* 

<sup>189</sup> r r

<sup>190 1</sup> 

<sup>191</sup> Id

<sup>192 14</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>193</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup> *Id* 

need for such an institution<sup>195</sup>. Importance of ombudsman may have several reasons:

a-) Ombudsman provides uniform interpretation of law in Sweden<sup>196</sup>. It is especially important in a country without principle of *stare decisis* and individuality of public administration.

Ombudsman achieves such uniformity through interpretation of the law<sup>197</sup>. Problem arises when there are more than one interpretations of the law by different administrators. If this is the case then ombudsman studies all these practices carefully nationwide and then explains his opinion to the parliament<sup>198</sup>.

These opinions are not legally binding, but as a general rule they are taken into consideration because of the respect towards the scholarship of the author<sup>199</sup>.

Nevertheless, there is also dissenting opinion that suggests these opinions cause confusion and likewise they may be wrong as well<sup>200</sup>.

The Stockholm prosecutor is one of them<sup>201</sup>. According to him what ombudsman does is taking interpretation of the law in his monopoly, which is not a practice appropriate for current age<sup>202</sup>.

Ombudsman's response draws attention to the way how he conducts his job. He says that as a matter of fact, he makes such interpretations for him to distinguish out correct and wrong application of law<sup>203</sup>. He takes them into account when making a decision anyway<sup>204</sup>. Even if so, then why would he conceal these opinions from

<sup>196</sup> Id 240

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>195</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>198</sup> Id

<sup>199</sup> Id

 $<sup>^{200}</sup>$  1d 241

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>201</sup> *Id* 242

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>202</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup> *Id* 241

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>204</sup> *Id* 

those who may benefit from them? Moving from this logic ombudsman finds it useful to share his opinions with others as it has a preventive character too<sup>205</sup>.

rest of the public servants appreciate these interpretations. Interpretations help them in difficult cases<sup>206</sup>.

Not only they enjoy his legal interpretations, but his suggestions about proper administration are also valued<sup>207</sup>. Quite often ombudsman takes a look at the different fields of public administration other than the police, prosecutor's offices and judiciary. This includes friendly suggestions how to achieve better results or correct the wrong<sup>208</sup>.

But sometimes, those who benefit from his guidance find his advices not appropriate, which is quite natural if ever increasing complexity of official establishment is considered<sup>209</sup>.

This proves that ombudsman's recommendations are not mandatory. His suggestions are less likely to be adopted if they are concerning a large area and if they are in general character<sup>210</sup>. It may partly be explained with the fact that, ombudsman enjoys only a limited aid by his own staff, who might not be the experts on the field<sup>211</sup>.

Regarding these proposals, ombudsman directs them to the concerned ministries and only after that he reports to the parliament<sup>212</sup>. Such proposals may not

 $<sup>^{205}</sup>$  *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>206</sup>Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>207</sup> Id 242

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>210</sup> Id 244

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>212</sup> Id 245

always be adopted immediately<sup>213</sup>. Nonetheless, ombudsman's insistence sometimes turns to be successful<sup>214</sup>.

Ombudsman was especially active in due administrative procedure law<sup>215</sup> and penitentiary system reforms<sup>216</sup>.

As one of the MPs summarized:

[B]ut I would add that if the Government doesn't pay any particular attention to his ideas, somebody in the Opposition is almost sure to do so. That at least keeps the idea alive. Sometimes a suggestion the Ombudsman initiates might lead, much later, to a motion in Parliament that brings results. Taking a broad look at the matter, though, I would have to say that the Ombudsmen have been better suited to applying existing law than to persuading Parliament to enact new law.<sup>217</sup>]

Ombudsman's reminders are also criticized of being unfair towards officials with minor mistakes<sup>218</sup>. In response ombudsman insists that he does not prosecute every unimportant error but only grave ones<sup>219</sup>. Moreover, ombudsman goes defending himself saying that they can launch a complaint to a parliament too if they find his reminder wrong<sup>220</sup>.

According to Gellhorn, it is only the Swedish legislative system that must be blamed as it leaves no option for ombudsman and official to solve their problem before the courts, other than prosecutions<sup>221</sup>.

Observation of one experienced high-rank public servant illustrates the problem the best:

[My observation over the years has been that the men who are trying hardest to get things done are the ones most likely to be criticized. We have suggested that Ombudsman should look at a man's whole record before prosecuting or denouncing him, because that would give some basis for saying whether or not he really is a bad actor. But the Ombudsman says this is none of his business: he is interested only in the act, not the actor. The upshot of that is that officials who want to be sure not to

<sup>214</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>213</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>216</sup> *Id* 246

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>217</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>218</sup> *Id* 247

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>219</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>220</sup> *Id* <sup>221</sup> *Id* 

get into trouble don't try to find the quickest and simplest ways to do their jobs, but the safest. I have rarely heard of anyone's being held up before the public as a horrible example because he was not being vigorous enough. Nowadays the civil service needs vigor, but it isn't really encouraged to have it.<sup>222</sup>]

So, sometimes ombudsman has a negative effect on the administration. It is seen either as decrease in the speed or accomplishment of much less work<sup>223</sup>.

But what is more interesting, according to Gellhorn, research revealed that ombudsman's criticism doesn't cast permanent shades on the public servants career<sup>224</sup>. This is acknowledged even by the officials who previously criticized ombudsman<sup>225</sup>.

But ombudsman's remarks are not always necessarily critical; he can also praise the hard-working official 226.

Ombudsman's remarks are not only addressed to particular person, but to all other public servants too<sup>227</sup>. In that sense ombudsman's reports are of serious importance<sup>228</sup>. They carry some preventive character.

Gellhorn's view is that these printed versions of reports do not reach the every single official<sup>229</sup>. But even if official gets them, he rarely troubles himself with reading them<sup>230</sup>. The most loyal audience for ombudsman's reports usually is high-rank public servants<sup>231</sup>.

If heavy official style of ombudsman is taken into account, newspapers do a great job for ombudsman in spreading his reports to wide public<sup>232</sup>.

<sup>223</sup> *Id* 249

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>222</sup> Id 248

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>224</sup> Id 250

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>225</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>226</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>227</sup> Id 251

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>228</sup> Id 252

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>229</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>230</sup> Id <sup>231</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>232</sup> *Id* 253

This large volume of job is done with the help of relatively small staff of ombudsman, which is only about:

[H]alf a dozen law-trained assistants who work on a full-time basis, a few "specialists" who may be engaged for short periods to concentrate attention on a particular branch of administration, a handful of clerical employees, and a Deputy Ombudsman who was originally conceived of as a temporary replacement when the Ombudsman was ill or on leave, but who is now active throughout the year<sup>233</sup>.]

The interesting instance about the Deputy Ombudsman is that he is in fact "...a second parliamentary ombudsman who functions independently..." and holds full responsibility for own decisions<sup>234</sup>. Still he is left unnoticed when compared to ombudsman<sup>235</sup>.

There were attempts to reduce the workload of ombudsman by assigning supervisory power to Military Ombudsman too<sup>236</sup>. However such proposals were not adopted<sup>237</sup>.

Drawing attention to the above considered episodes as a proof to his thesis, Gellhorn concludes that ombudsman is not a substitute for already established official justice system, but it can only be a supplement to it<sup>238</sup>.

But even if a supplement, during all these long decades of its existence Swedish ombudsman institution proved to be very useful for administration of justice and good governance.

#### 1.4.8 Children's Ombudsman

As noted earlier Swedish ombudsmen have a very heavy workload. Annual number of complaints filed to them is about 5000<sup>239</sup>. One of the possible ways how

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>233</sup> Id 254

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>234</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>235</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>237</sup> *Id* 

 $<sup>^{238}</sup>$  Id  $^{255}$ 

to deal with these complaints is creation of new ombudsman institutions that are specializing on their subject matter.

In order to facilitate the rights of the minors Swedish government established Office of the Children's Ombudsman (Barnombudsmannen) on July 1'st 1993<sup>240</sup>. Another reason for such an office was enforcement of UN Convention on Rights of the Child<sup>241</sup>.

Office is supported by some staff of fourteen people<sup>242</sup>. The office has the following activity areas:

- 1-) Real condition of the children and youth, meaning that Ombudsman monitors the situation which the juveniles are growing up in;
  - 2-) Psychological and social issues surrounding them;
  - 3-) Safety;
  - 4-) Final activity field is social planning.

Ombudsman is not alone when dealing with these issues. He is supported by number of NGOs that also tackle the same problems<sup>243</sup>. Both ombudsman and these NGOs meet with ministers and government officials on annual basis<sup>244</sup>. During these meetings they discuss the topics related to the condition of Swedish children's policy<sup>245</sup>.

One of the most prominent NGOs is Radda Barnen, which has 110.000 members<sup>246</sup>. Radda Barnen advocates the ideals of Child Convention and its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>239</sup> Jennifer Gannett, *Providing Guardianship of Fundamental Rights and Essential Governmental Oversight: An Examination and Comparative Analysis of the Role of Ombudsman in Sweden and Poland*, 9 New Eng. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 159 (2003) WL 9 NENGJICL 519

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup> Simone Ek, *Building Political Support for the Convention on the Rights of the Child in Sweden*, 4 Loy Poverty L.J. 263 (Spring, 1998) WL 4 LYPLJ 263

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>242</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>243</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>246</sup> *Id* 

importance is recognized worldwide. It operates in more than twenty countries<sup>247</sup>. It's holding a consultative power before the ECOSOC is a proof to that<sup>248</sup>.

Another important fact about Radda Barnen is that as a result of very active NGO participation at drafting stage UN Child Convention is the only international instrument where non-governmental organizations hold authority to monitor the implementation<sup>249</sup>.

247 <sub>I</sub>

 $<sup>^{248}</sup>$  La

<sup>249</sup> Ld

## CHAPTER 2 – AZERI COMMISSIONER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS

## 2.1 History of Human Rights

Azerbaijan being a Turkish and Muslim country, historically her culture, including culture of human rights, had a lot in common with other parts of Islamic and Turkish-speaking world<sup>250</sup>. But at the same time Azerbaijan distinguished out with her specificities; at certain point there were obvious human rights abuses during the history too. Here I will briefly try to address the question, whether notion of human rights is alien to Azeri culture or not.

History of Azerbaijan was marked with her geopolitical position and ethnicity was shaped by the numbers of different peoples that passed from there. Laying on the crossroad of Europe and Asia and historically extending from Caspian to Georgia and from what is now north-east part of Iran to the Russia, she experienced much, starting with ancient influence of Mesopotamian civilizations and continuing now with globalization<sup>251</sup>.

Pre-Islamic Turks that immigrated to Azerbaijan throughout the history not only defined the ethnic identity of modern Azeris, but brought their culture as well. Rule of law, in other words respect for *tore-* ancient Turkish traditions was characteristic for Turkish rulers- *khagans*<sup>252</sup>, which was essential for protection of the rights of his people. Respect for the law was thought to be essential for successful governing of the state and ultimately beneficial for the leader himself, this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History\_of\_Azerbaijan (July 8'th 2007)

 $<sup>^{251}</sup>$  Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup> Reha Alioglu Yilmaz, *Demokratik Dovletde Insan Huquqlarinin Mudafiesinde Ombudsmanin Rolu, Azerbaycan Timsalinda ( Role of Ombudsman in Protection of Human Rights in Democratic State, applied to context of Azerbaijan)*, article, 13, (Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences Human Rights Institute, "Elm" Press, Baku, 2003)

idea illustrated the best in the following excerpt from the Orkhon inscriptions, the oldest Turkish manuscript:

"...This was the reason why they were able to rule an empire so great, why, governing the empire, they could uphold the law<sup>253</sup>."

This political and legal thought was transmitted to the Azeris as well.

According to this mentality leader was supposed to take care of his subjects, which emanated the notion of basic human rights:

"To preserve the reputation achieved by our father, for the sake of the Turkic people, I spent the nights without sleep and the days without rest.<sup>254</sup>"

Starting from VII century by arrival of Muslim Arabs and inclusion of early Azeri states Albania and Atropatena to Caliphate Azeris gradually converted to Islam<sup>255</sup>. Adoption of Islam brought about specific Muslim political and legal thought, including human rights system peculiar to Islam. Shariah included the following rights, which can be roughly and briefly divided into three groups<sup>256</sup>:

- I-) Basic rights conferred on all human beings, such as:
- a-) right to life and its security;
- b-) right of women for chastity (in modern phraseology it would equal to right to have one's dignity respected);
- c-) right to have essential economic needs to be met (this might be the early normative basis for the socio-economic rights in Azerbaijan);

<sup>255</sup> *See supra* note 250, at 39

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>253</sup> http://www.saudiaramcoworld.com/issue/199402/the.cradle.of.the.turks.htm (July 7'th 2007)

 $<sup>^{254}</sup>$  Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>256</sup> 'Allamah Abu al-'A'la Mawdudi, *Human Rights in Islam, at* <a href="http://www.witness-pioneer.org/vil/Books/M">http://www.witness-pioneer.org/vil/Books/M</a> hri/index.htm (July 9'th 2007)

- d-) right to liberty;
- e-) right to justice (in other words fair trial guarantees);
- f-) right to equality (equality before the law);
- g-) right to cooperate and not to cooperate; meaning that:
- "...the man who undertakes a noble and righteous work, irrespective of the fact whether he is living at the North Pole or the South Pole, has the right to expect support and active co-operation from the Muslims. On the contrary he who perpetrates deeds of vice and aggression, even if he is our closest relation or neighbor, does not have the right to win our support and help in the name of race, country, language or nationality, nor should he have the expectation that Muslims will co-operate with him or support him. Nor is it permissible for Muslims to co-operate with him. The wicked and vicious person may be our own brother, but he is not of us, and he can have no help or support from us as long as he does not repent and reform his ways. On the other hand the man who is doing deeds of virtue and righteousness may have no kinship with Muslims, but Muslims will be his companions and supporters or at least his well- wishers<sup>257</sup>."
  - II-) Rights of citizens (both Muslim and non-Muslim):
  - 1 -) Right to security of life and property;
  - 2 -) Right for protection of honor;
  - 3 -) Right to privacy;
- 4 -) Right to protest against tyranny (Prophet Muhammad sanctioned to protest against injustice either with deeds or speech, if these are not possible then at least silently in the heart);
  - 5 -) Freedom of expression;
  - 6 -) Right to liberty;

41

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup> Id

- 7 -) Freedom of association;
- 8 -) Freedom of conscience (Quran explicitly prohibits coercion in matters of religion: "There should be no coercion in the matter of faith" but it is debatable whether Muslims had a right to change their religion but it is debatable."
  - 9 -) Protection from arbitrary detention (and other relevant fair trial rights);
- 10 -) Right for basic needs (this right subscribed to category of socioeconomic rights)
  - 11 -) Right to equality before the law;
  - 12 -) Right to participate in decision-making and have good governance:

"The correct method recommended by the Holy Quran for running the affairs of the state is as follows: "And their business is (conducted) through consultation among themselves" (42:38)<sup>260</sup>."

- 13 -) Right to avoid sin (this right basically included conscientious objection to the administrative decisions and right to challenge them before the court);
  - III-) International Humanitarian Law:
  - 1 -) Prohibition of use of fire (use of fire in warfare was prohibited by Prophet);
  - 2 -) Treatment of wounded of enemy;
  - 3 -) Prohibition of execution of war captives;
  - 4 -) Prohibition of marauding and destruction;

250 Id

<sup>258</sup> L

<sup>259</sup> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apostasy in Islam (July 9'th 2007)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>260</sup> See supra note 256, at 40

5 -) Prohibition of breach of treaties and obligation to declare war before waging it.

As a Muslim country Azerbaijan was the part of processes that were developing on the other parts of the Islamic civilization. *Akhi* organizations, which were originally, trade unions of craftsmen in Medieval Age cities, were bearers of humanism ideals and protectors of the weak as well<sup>261</sup>. One of the most prominent poets of not only Azeri but entire Muslim literature- Nizami Ganjavi was also supposed to be the member of these unions. By his poems he managed to spread akhi mottos to other countries as well.

Politically speaking the *mohtesib* office during the Azeri Safawid Empire (1501-1722), which was the second largest empire in Islamic world and rival to Ottomans, can be understood as the medieval ancestor of modern Commissioner for Human Rights of Azerbaijan. Mohtesibs were officers charged with monitoring the observance of consumer rights in the markets<sup>262</sup>.

Another, and from legal point of view even more important institution that recalls modern ombudsmen was the special *vizir*- or in contemporary language the minister, who was supposed to receive, investigate and respond to the complaints from inhabitants of the country<sup>263</sup>.

Starting from XVII century when Safawid dynasty lost its Azeri origins and turned into a Persian one, along with that Azerbaijan lost her independence as well<sup>264</sup>. In XVIII century Safawids were replaced by Afshars and Gajars, which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>261</sup> Yilmaz, p.24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>262</sup> Id 26

 $<sup>^{263}</sup>$  Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>264</sup> *See supra* note 250, at 39

despite of their Turkish origin perceived themselves to be Persians. These political turbulences resulted in political chaos as Azerbaijan was split into dozens of small but independent *khanates*- kingdoms, which were hostile to each other<sup>265</sup>. Political instability invited Ottoman and Russian invasion to Azerbaijan along with Persian one, which eventually ended up in division of Azerbaijan between Russia and Iran in 1828 after two wars between them<sup>266</sup>.

Colonization by two absolutely different powers ended up in differences in historical development of southern (Persian) and northern (Russian) parts of Azerbaijan. While Northern Azerbaijan joined revolutionary processes going on inside the Russian Empire, Southern Azerbaijan was and still is pushing for complete independence and democracy in Iran<sup>267</sup>. Struggle for national independence in north ended in declaration of Democratic Republic of Azerbaijan (hereinafter DRA) in 1918, which was the first secular state in Islamic world<sup>268</sup>. DRA was successful to adopt parliamentary democracy and maintained political pluralism<sup>269</sup>. Multi-party system and coalition cabinets serve as a proof for that<sup>270</sup>. However communist invasion in 1920 again turned Azerbaijan into a Russian colony<sup>271</sup>. Briefness in period of independence may be the reason for not adoption of constitution by DRA. On the other hand it may be explained by prevailing legal culture of those days that stressed supremacy of parliament and its unlimited political power. No wonder that constitutionalism gained momentum after WWII, when the way how Nazis came to power was studied.

. .

 $<sup>^{265}</sup>$  Ia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>266</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>267</sup> Nasib L. Nassibli, "Azerbaijan- Iran Relations: Challenges and Prospects (Event Summary)" Belfer Center, 1999, Unpublished at

http://bcsia.ksg.harvard.edu/publication.cfm?program=CSP&ctype=event\_reports&item\_id=50 (July 9'th 2007)

268 See supra note 250, at 39

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>269</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>270</sup> *Id Id* 

<sup>271</sup> *Id* 

As the brief excursion to history of Azerbaijan suggests not only notion of human rights was alien to Azeris, but they even managed to create early institutions similar to modern ombudsmen, which must have served as the source of inspiration for current Commissioner for Human Rights.

#### 2.2 Modern Ombudsman

# 2.2.1 Situation of Human Rights

In 1991 Azerbaijan acquired her independence from USSR<sup>272</sup>. DRA served as the ancestor of contemporary Republic of Azerbaijan, as the latter inherited not only anthem, national emblem and flag from the former, but ideals of democracy and human rights as well. Preamble of Azeri Constitution of 1995 states that it intends to "...achieve constitutional democracy, civil society and as the expression will of people secular state with rule of law...<sup>273</sup>"

Elsewhere document again confirms that Azerbaijan is supposed to be "democratic, secular and unitary republic with rule of law<sup>274</sup>". Article 12 goes even further stating that: "Insurance of human and citizen rights is the high purpose of the state.<sup>275</sup>"

Azerbaijan is party to ECHR and member to Council of Europe since 2001<sup>276</sup>.

Regrettably, modern Azerbaijan was not able to continue the democratic tradition of DRA successfully enough. In my opinion it has several reasons.

\_

<sup>272</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>273</sup> Constitution of Republic of Azerbaijan, Preamble

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>274</sup> *Id* Art.7.I

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>275</sup> *Id* Art.12.I

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>276</sup> http://www.coe.int/T/E/Com/About\_Coe/Member\_states/e\_az.asp#TopOfPage (July 9'th 2007)

One of the reasons was conflict breaking out with neighboring Armenia even before the collapse of USSR, in 1988, which started with ethnic cleansing against Azeris in Armenia and support for the ethnic Armenian separatists in Nagorny Kharabakh- western province of Azerbaijan on border with Armenia<sup>277</sup>. Genocide in Khojaly is the best example for the scale of human rights atrocities that Azerbaijan had to face short after independence<sup>278</sup>. War had an enormous impact on fragile Azeri democracy in early 90's. Constitution of 1995 which confirmed presidential democracy may be perceived as another form of expression of need for strong, centralized administration and security. It is worthy to note that different from modern republic DRA was used to be a parliamentary democracy.

Another reason may be the negative influence of neighboring powers such as Russia and Iran that oppose to the furtherance of democracy in the country as it leads to deepening the alliance with West.

However, by creation of office of Commissioner for Human Rights Azerbaijan expressed her commitment to ideals of democracy once more, despite of the difficulties.

#### 2.2.2 Normative Legal Acts

#### 2.2.2.1 Constitution

Constitution of Azerbaijan mentions Ombudsman in several places, although there is no special chapter dedicated to him. Constitution rather subjects the affairs of ombudsman to statutory regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>277</sup> See supra note 250, at 39 <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khojaly\_massacre">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khojaly\_massacre</a> (July 9'th 2007)

The place where constitution mentions about Commissioner for Human Rights, or Azeri ombudsman (hereinafter ombudsman), first is the chapter on National Assembly- legislative branch of the government. It reads as follows: "[National Assembly] elects the Commissioner for Human Rights of Republic of Azerbaijan upon proposal of the President of Republic of Azerbaijan<sup>279</sup>."

In the subsequent chapter about the powers of the president, Article 109 empowers president to make proposals to the legislative on election of the ombudsman<sup>280</sup>.

Another very important provision concerning ombudsman is Art.130. According to the respective article ombudsman is empowered to launch an abstract judicial review of the constitutionality of the normative legal acts before the Constitutional Court of Republic of Azerbaijan<sup>281</sup>. Exact list of the acts and scope of review are provided by the same article. These are the followings<sup>282</sup>:

- 1 -) Compliance of laws, presidential, ministerial and central executive branch decrees and parliamentary decisions with constitution;
- 2 -) Compliance of presidential, ministerial and central executive branch decrees with the laws;
- 3 -) Compliance of ministerial and central executive branch decrees with that of president;
  - 4 -) Compliance of the Supreme Court decisions with the constitution:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>279</sup> Constitution of Republic of Azerbaijan, Art.95.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>280</sup> *Id* Art.109.14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>281</sup> *Id* Art.130.VII <sup>282</sup> *Id* Art.130.I.1-7

5 -) Compliance of municipal acts with constitution, laws, presidential and ministerial decrees;

6 -) Compliance of non-ratified inter-state conventions with constitution and compliance of inter-governmental agreements with constitution and laws.

#### 2.2.2.2 Statute on Ombudsman

#### **General Overview**

Statute on ombudsman, full name is Constitutional Law of Republic of Azerbaijan on Commissioner of Human Rights of Republic of Azerbaijan (hereinafter Statute), was adopted on December 28th 2001<sup>283</sup>. It is more detailed on the activity of ombudsman.

Statute declares that institution was established to monitor the observance of human rights enshrined by the constitution and international instruments (including ECHR) not only by state officials alone, but municipal actors as well<sup>284</sup>. However, by no means it limits the obligation of other state institutions to secure the human rights, such as office of prosecutor<sup>285</sup>.

Subsequent provision lists the officials that can not be supervised by the ombudsman. These are the MPs, president and judges<sup>286</sup>.

Statute authorizes ombudsman with proposing to the president on issues of pardon, citizenship and asylum<sup>287</sup>; also either submit proposal to the parliament on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>283</sup> Constitutional Law of Republic of Azerbaijan on Commissioner of Human Rights of Republic of Azerbaijan of 2001

 $<sup>^{284}</sup>$  Id 1 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>285</sup> Id 1.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>286</sup> *Id* 1.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>287</sup> *Id* 1.4

adoption of new normative acts or review of the already existing<sup>288</sup>. In addition to that ombudsman can propose amnesty too<sup>289</sup>. As it is seen Statue gives ombudsman a choice in case if he finds the current laws in power unconstitutional or in breach of human rights conventions that Azerbaijan is party to. He can either propose reconsideration of the statute or request abstract judicial review<sup>290</sup>.

First chapter of the Statute ends with emphasizing monitoring of observance of fair trial rights by ombudsman<sup>291</sup> and his dedication to "…openness, transparency, justice. [and] impartiality principles…<sup>292</sup>"

## **Appointment**

Statute goes reading that parliament is supposed to elect the ombudsman out of 3 candidates nominated by the president by 83 votes<sup>293</sup>. If these candidates are rejected president nominates another 3 candidates in matter of 15 days<sup>294</sup>. Ombudsman is appointed for 7 years<sup>295</sup>. 30 days before the expiration of the term president nominates next 3 candidates<sup>296</sup>.

Candidates for the post of ombudsman are expected to be over 30, with higher education and practice of human rights defense, in addition to the outstanding morality<sup>297</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>288</sup> *Id* 1.5

<sup>289 1</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>290</sup> See page 46

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>291</sup> *Id* 1.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>292</sup> Id 1 7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>293</sup> 14 2 1

<sup>294 1 1 2 . 1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>*a 2.2* 

<sup>296</sup> r 1 4 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>297</sup> Id 3.1

Article 3.2 in its term lists the qualities that ombudsman *must not* have, these are presence of serious and grave crimes in past records<sup>298</sup>, dual citizenship, obligation before other states, employment in legislative, executive or judiciary branches of government; or employment in any other sector than scientific, pedagogical or creative (meaning fine arts) and status of physical incapability determined by court<sup>299</sup>. Ombudsman can not be affiliated with any political party or NGO either<sup>300</sup>. Any such activity must be ceased in a period of 5 days after being elected<sup>301</sup>.

Ombudsman may be impeached by vote of 83 in case if he does not meet the requirements listed above<sup>302</sup> or is not able to fulfill his tasks anymore<sup>303</sup>. In case of death, resignation or presence of judicial verdict leave of office takes place by simple decree of speaker of the parliament<sup>304</sup>.

#### Independence

Ombudsman is supposed to be independent, which is guaranteed by the fact that he can not be recalled<sup>305</sup>. Moreover he enjoys immunity (meaning that he can not be subject to any interrogation unless caught committing the crime immediately and in that case Chief Prosecutor must inform the parliament within 24 hours<sup>306</sup>, which in its term may deprive him from immunity by vote of 83<sup>307</sup>), independence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>298</sup> This grounds the thesis I suggested above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>299</sup>Id 3.2

 $<sup>^{300}</sup>Id\ 3.3$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>301</sup> Id 3.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>302</sup> See supra note 299, at 50

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>303</sup> Id 7.1.2

 $<sup>^{304}</sup>$  Id 7.2

<sup>305</sup> Id 5 2 1

<sup>306 116 2</sup> 

<sup>307</sup> Id 6 3

from state interference, socio-economical support<sup>308</sup> and exemption from mandatory military service<sup>309</sup>. Ombudsman's personal flat, property and documents, office, means of transportation and communication also have immunity<sup>310</sup>. He is not liable for the speeches made during the term<sup>311</sup>.

## **Complaints**

Third parties and NGOs are eligible to launch a complaint before the office<sup>312</sup>. But what is worth to note is that state institutions themselves can not file complaints<sup>313</sup>. To me, legislator meant office of prosecutor by the *state institution*, since their duties are more relevant to the protection of human rights. Deadline for applications is one year<sup>314</sup>. If complaint is launched from places of detention then it must be handled to the office within 24 hours, without censorship<sup>315</sup>.

Procedural rules such as statement of name, last name, patronymic and address, act from which it is complained of, signature, date and place must be observed, any document relevant must also be attached if available<sup>316</sup>. Anonymous complaints are not viewed and if application lacks name, last name and address then it is inadmissible<sup>317</sup>.

But if anonymous complaint is grounded enough then it can be held admissible 318. Applications can be made orally too, if so ombudsman must record it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>308</sup> Id 5.2.2-4

<sup>309</sup> Id 5 3

<sup>310</sup> Id 6 4

<sup>311</sup> Id 6 5

<sup>312</sup> Id 8 2

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{100.2}{313}$ 

<sup>1</sup>a 8.3

<sup>315</sup> Id 8 5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>316</sup> Id 9.1

 $<sup>^{317}</sup>$  Id 9 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>318</sup> *Id* 9.3

and the signature of the complainant<sup>319</sup>. Privacy of the data is guaranteed upon request of the individual<sup>320</sup>. Information with significance to the national interest must not be revealed either<sup>321</sup>.

Ombudsman rejects the complaints, other than inadmissible ones described above<sup>322</sup>, if there is a trial process that proceeds<sup>323</sup> and the same complaint is reapplied<sup>324</sup>. Grounded reasoning must follow the rejection and sent to the applicant within 10 days<sup>325</sup>.

If admissible then ombudsman either can ask for explanation from the relevant body within 10 days<sup>326</sup> or pay sudden visits to state and military institutions, places of detention; request materials on the completed trials; ask the relevant bodies to investigate the case and get expert consulting<sup>327</sup>.

In special circumstances when there is a public interest or if the individual is unable to protect his rights on his own with his permission ombudsman can carry out the investigation by himself<sup>328</sup>. But if investigation reveals the fact that subject matter falls under competence of other institution then case is transmitted to that body<sup>329</sup>. Although normal period of investigation is 30 days it may be prolonged<sup>330</sup>. Applicant must be notified about the proceedings within 5 days<sup>331</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>319</sup> *Id* 9.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>320</sup> *Id* 9.5 and 15.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>321</sup> *Id* 15.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>322</sup> See page 51

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>330</sup> *Id* 12.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>331</sup>Id 13.1

If ombudsman finds the complaint justified then he can ask the relevant public body to remedy the violated rights and report him about the work completed, in case of failure to do so, ombudsman can ask the supervising establishment to act upon the case<sup>332</sup>. He can also ask for initiating of criminal investigation, launch appeal from the court decision, ask for administrative punitive measures for the wronged official, make statements in media, apply to the president or report to the parliament, apply to the ordinary and even Constitutional Court<sup>333</sup>. When it comes to reports to the parliament they are done annually<sup>334</sup> and must contain information about general situation of human rights and list of measures taken to improve it and ombudsman's advisory opinion<sup>335</sup>. Report is published and sent to the relevant state bodies<sup>336</sup>.

# **Material Support**

Article 16 enlists the substantial salary and other socio-economical benefits that apply to ombudsman<sup>337</sup>, but more importantly Article 19.2 envisages that material support for ombudsman's office can not be decreased compared to the past fiscal year<sup>338</sup>. Subsequent provisions of the Statute give him a liberty to organize his own office<sup>339</sup> and take into service the employees<sup>340</sup>.

3,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>332</sup> *Id* 13.2.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>333</sup> *Id* 13.2.2-8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>334</sup> *Id* 14.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>333</sup> Id 14.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>336</sup> *Id* 14.4-5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>337</sup> See supra note 283, at 48

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>338</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>339</sup> *Id* 17.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>340</sup> *Id* 18.1

#### 2.2.3 Activities

Immediately after adoption of the Statue office for Ombudsman was established. UN and OSCE played a major role in its establishment<sup>341</sup>.

A moment which needs to be considered is the regional offices of ombudsman. In spite of the absence of regional offices in the structure of ombudsman's central office structure they were created in 3 regions of country, with support of UN Development program<sup>342</sup>. Regional offices are supported by two specialists each, whereas central office staff is about 42 employees, but is planned to be increased up to 57<sup>343</sup>.

When it comes to actual structure of the office, then it is comprised of, but not limited to, two main departments, namely Complaints and Techno-material Support Departments, along with an independent Division of Accounting<sup>344</sup>. Also special Center on Human rights was created, which is supposed to receive complaints from both nationals and non-nationals<sup>345</sup>. The same center is divided into committees on civil and political rights; individuals in military; convicts; refugee and IDPs<sup>346</sup>. Another Center for Legal Enlightenment and International Relations is specialized on human rights education, analyzing of the human rights violations and establishment of

<sup>341</sup> http://www.ombudsman.gov.az/az/insitution/structure/ (July 12'th 2007)

http://www.ombudsman.gov.az/az/insitution/regional\_centers/ (July 12'th 2007)

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{See \ supra}$  note 341, at 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>344</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>345</sup> *Id* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>346</sup> *Id* 

relations with foreign ombudsman offices<sup>347</sup>. Another two structural units of office are Secretary and Complaints Monitoring Center<sup>348</sup>.

Council of Experts, as the regional centers, is not included into the structure of the office. Its main task is maintenance of partnership with public and civic control over the office, as it includes NGOs, individuals and public bodies specializing on human rights protection<sup>349</sup>. Council plays an important role as it reaches the public opinion and situation of human rights to ombudsman.

Since the creation of the office it mainly concentrated on human rights education<sup>350</sup>; however it is noteworthy to remind visits paid by ombudsman to the penitentiary system, as the recent ones<sup>351</sup>. Up to moment 186 convicts were pardoned upon ombudsman's request, still the most important fact is ombudsman's monitoring over recently detained MP from opposition party- Hussein Abdulllayev, whose arrest caused a great controversy<sup>352</sup>.

Ombudsman was active on protection of rights of minors and women as well. She recently ordered to investigate the event in which student was subject to corporal punishment by school principle, in other case she organized meeting of female convicts with their children<sup>353</sup>.

In general one can conclude that since the creation of the office ombudsman's activities mainly targeted visits to penitentiary institutions, monitoring situation of convicts and observance of fair trial rights. Special attention towards convicts with

 $^{348}$  Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>347</sup> *Id* 

<sup>349</sup> Id

http://www.ombudsman.gov.az/az/ (July 12'th 2007)

http://www.ombudsman.gov.az/az/news/149/ (July 12'th 2007)

<sup>352</sup> http://www.ombudsman.gov.az/az/documents publications/information bulletin/activity/ (July 12'th 2007)

Georgian nationality is especially gratifying, as they being foreigners are particularly vulnerable 354.

 $\frac{\text{354}}{\text{http://www.ombudsman.gov.az/az/documents publications/information bulletin/activity/99/?page=1}} \text{ (July 12'th 2007)}$ 

## **CHAPTER 3 - RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### 3.1 Problems

As discussed above Article 130 of Constitution grants a large power to ombudsman to launch abstract judicial review of normative legal acts, from those adopted by municipalities to international agreements<sup>355</sup>.

But in my opinion, broad language of Statue and Constitution can not be understood as authorizing institution to exercise undue supervision over any normative act. It should be done from human rights aspect only.

Another provision that may become problematic is the requirement towards candidates to hold the office. Statute on Ombudsman envisages that candidates are expected to be of *outstanding morality*<sup>356</sup>. Morality being an abstract and relative notion it sounds doubtful how the parliament or president is supposed to assess the brilliance of the nominee.

In my belief, *outstanding morality* should mean clear criminal records, which is indirectly supported by other articles of the Statute<sup>357</sup>.

Regarding the qualifications for post second troublesome criteria is the requirement of not having any obligations before any foreign state<sup>358</sup>. It is hard to imagine how this broad criterion is expected to be met in such a rapidly globalizing and more and more interdependent becoming world.

Even the preamble of the Statute appears to be in hidden contradiction with Constitution. When Article 130 of Constitution explicitly envisages the possibility of

<sup>355</sup> See supra note 282,at 47

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>356</sup> See supra note 299, at 50

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>357</sup> See supra note 288, at 49

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>358</sup> See supra note 299, at 50

challenging Supreme Court decisions before the Constitutional Court<sup>359</sup>, preamble of the Statute lists judges out of the ombudsman's control<sup>360</sup>. The question is whether challenging of court decision may amount to undue interference with the independence of judiciary. And if not then is it only the Supreme Court judges that are liable for such supervision.

To me here Swedish experience may be relevant. As the Swedish justices rightly point it out, as long as ombudsman does not guide the decisions after some period judges will get accustomed to him<sup>361</sup>. In order to avoid damaging the independence of judges, as in Sweden, ombudsman must avoid reviewing the content of the judgments or issuing directives; he should only check the compliance with law<sup>362</sup>. So far, Azeri ombudsman has not challenged any court decision. Therefore it would be useful to benefit from Swedish example to avoid the mistakes that similar institution did there, such as concentrating on small details, as most judges are complaining about<sup>363</sup>. As long as these principles are respected, challenging the court decision, in my opinion, can not amount to interference with its independence. Rather the opposite, leaving the wrong decision go which emanates from government body and violates individuals' rights would contradict to the very idea of foundation of ombudsman institution.

Regarding the independence, in anyway all the public officers that fall under the ombudsman's scrutiny are supposed to be independent and supervision over them may be equally dangerous from that point of view. It may be useful to remember that Constitution empowers ombudsman with right to abstract judicial

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>359</sup> *See supra* note 282,at 47

<sup>360</sup> See supra note 286, at 48

<sup>361</sup> See page 25

 $<sup>^{362}</sup>$  Id

<sup>363</sup> Ld

review of the normative legal acts adopted even by the parliament and president <sup>364</sup>, but president and MPs in their turn are excluded from supervision by him according to the Statute<sup>365</sup>. Would it mean that there is a contradiction in law? To my understanding, by exclusion Statue meant immunity of MPs, justices and president from being subject to investigation of ombudsman. Such an interpretation, in my opinion would be more in line with the spirit of law. Here I would like to add that it might be of use to add members of municipal councils to this list, as a means of strengthening the independence of fragile local governances, as it has been practices in Sweden since long ago<sup>366</sup>.

Giving an extra chance for improvement of wrong decision may be only useful, especially in cases where individuals are not legally literate enough to launch concrete judicial review before the Constitutional Court.

Coming to the second question of whether it is only the Supreme Court decisions that may be challenged, in my opinion, drafters of our Constitution deliberately left ordinary court decisions out of the scope of Article 130, delegating regulation of this matter to ordinary statutes. Because otherwise it would be contradictory to the aim of Constitution itself to subject only Supreme Court decisions to scrutiny and leave others out. Furthermore even Statue itself leaves space for challenge of ordinary court decisions, by appeals<sup>367</sup>.

When it comes to dealing with complaints, then according to the Statute NGOs can not apply to the office<sup>368</sup> and anonymous applications are not admitted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>364</sup> See supra note 282,at 47

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>365</sup> See supra note 286, at 48

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>366</sup> See page 20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>367</sup> *See supra* note 333, at 53

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>368</sup> *See supra* note 312, at 51

either<sup>369</sup>. So, only the reasonable applications can initiate ombudsman's investigation, which reduces the scope of ombudsman's supervision.

I suppose Swedish experience might be relevant here too. Different from Azeri ombudsman Swedish one takes into consideration each and every complaint, even those with obscure language<sup>370</sup>. This means that information about violation of human rights is welcome from any source, which increases the office's efficiency. In that sense, my opinion is that Azeri ombudsman should take example from his colleague and be even more active by carefully viewing *all* complaints, carrying out independent and sudden inspections in *all* public bodies (except those specified by law) and in general being open to all sources of information, including media. In that sense opposition press may be principally interesting.

The next issue which does not seem efficient to me appropriate enough is the protection of privacy, according to the Statue personal data may be prevented from having made public only upon a clear request of the concerned party<sup>371</sup>.

In my opinion it must be the ombudsman who should ask for permission from the individual, but not vice versa. Again experience of Sweden may be useful here. There public officials usually complain about damage done to their professional reputation by the partnership between ombudsman and media and plus publicizing of the case sometimes have derogative effect on the potential complainants<sup>372</sup>. To solve the problem of professional image there special agreement was reached between media and trade unions of public employees, moreover special court of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>369</sup> *See supra* note 317, at 51

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>370</sup> See page 27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>371</sup> *See supra* note 320, at 52

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>372</sup> See page 31

honor was in operation to view the breach of agreement<sup>373</sup>. Similar strategy may be adopted by Azeri ombudsman as well. It may be especially urgent taking into consideration realities of Azeri press, which unlike in Sweden sometimes is not delicate enough about the reputation of the officials.

#### 3.2 Suggestions

When it comes to suggestions to newly founded office, they can be grouped as follows:

- 1 -) In my opinion before all, it would be useful to create other specialized ombudsman institutions as well, as it is in Sweden<sup>374</sup>. Establishment of specialized institutions would increase the efficiency and decrease the workload.
- 2 -) Second point that may deserve attention is the need for monitoring over the private actors exercising public power. In Sweden ombudsman is entitled to practice control over them as well<sup>375</sup>.
- 3 -) Another area that in my opinion that may need to be explained is proper relations between office of prosecutor and ombudsman. Although it might be constructive if Azeri ombudsman had a right to prosecute, as in Sweden<sup>376</sup>, he does not have any such power. Neither does he have a power to fine, unlike Swedish ombudsman<sup>377</sup>.

But Azeri legal system gives ombudsman power to launch litigation, which in some sense remedies the situation. Here I want to make a comment which may be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>373</sup> *Id* 

<sup>374</sup> See page 8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>375</sup> See page 11

<sup>376</sup> See supra note 12, at 7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>377</sup> See page 14

addressed to Azeri judiciary rather than ombudsman, meaning that it can be useful to remind Swedish practice where even in cases when the perpetrator is not found state reimburses the victim's losses 378. For the sake of furtherance of human rights protection it would be useful if courts adopted the principle of state's material responsibility for the wrongs done by its actors.

Coming back to the relation between prosecutors and ombudsman, first of all according to the Statute ombudsman can not be a substitute for the prosecutors<sup>379</sup>. In Azeri context, where institution is relatively young, public may confuse its powers with that of prosecutor. Different from prosecutor ombudsman can deal with civil cases and cover the entire geography of the country. Besides ombudsman is not bound with the principle of legality and unlike prosecutors he may suffice with only issuing a warning, demand to remedy the losses or apply to the supervisory body of the wrong-doing official. Final difference is the support of security services, as police. This far prosecutor has enjoyed more support from them compared to ombudsman. It may be explained by the legal system that provides for close partnership between them.

4 -) The next field where ombudsman might be of use is issuing his own official legal opinions, as it is in Sweden<sup>380</sup>. Legal opinions may concern the legislations, judgments or international agreements concerning human rights, ECHR being stressed out in particular. It is important to note that different from Swedish ombudsman Azeri ombudsman is not entitled to monitor the compliance with any legal acts adopted by legislative, his jurisdiction is limited only to observance of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>378</sup> *See* page 28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>379</sup> *See supra* note 285, at 48

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>380</sup> See page 30

human rights aspect of them. Returning to the topic of issuance of opinions, I believe they may have significant academic and practical value in context of Azerbaijan.

- 5 -) Azeri ombudsman being newly-established, it should note the criticism addressed to the Swedish one, regarding over concentration on small details<sup>381</sup>.
- 6 -) One more suggestion would be paying more attention to the transparency. Here utilization of internet must be underlined, more specifically translation of the official web-site must be provided and its structure should be redesigned. In addition opening the archives of the office to the public as in Sweden<sup>382</sup>might be useful practice in this direction.
- 7 -) Additional suggestion of mine regards the criterion required for the office, according to the Statue although candidates are expected to already have a practice of human rights advocacy<sup>383</sup>minimum amount of the time is not specified. In my opinion it would be appropriate to add another condition, requirement of having legal education along with practice. Familiarity with law definitely would ease the duty of the ombudsman and in addition increase efficiency. No wonder that in Sweden ombudsmen are chosen among career judges<sup>384</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>381</sup> See page 29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>382</sup> See page 15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>383</sup> See supra note 297, at 49

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>384</sup> See page 25

## CONCLUSION

As noted in the beginning research tried to introduce an ombudsman institution in Azerbaijan and Sweden. Along with description of these establishments comparison of them was done and recommendations for the new Azeri Commissioner for Human Rights were proposed.

Research revealed that, although Swedish ombudsman was originally supposed to monitor obedience with commands of the monarch and called Attorney General when it was created in early XVIII century, gradually it developed into a tool at disposal of Realm of Esates- the Swedish parliament, which supervised the respect for the laws passed by the parliament. In 1809 at the same time with adoption of Swedish Constitution parliament established a new ombudsman office fully independent from the Crown and only responsible before the parliament. In short period of time ombudsman institution earned such a wide support in public that it became overloaded by the huge number of complaints it received. Eventually need for special ombudsman responsible for the same tasks but in field of military emerged. As a result specialization went further and now there are 4 parliamentary ombudsmen, other than new Children's Ombudsman, Military Ombudsman and Attorney General.

One of the major differences between the Azeri and Swedish ombudsmen is that originally the latter was supposed to watch the respect for rule of law, compliance of public officials with the legislations of parliament. However, rule of law being predetermining factor for polishing respect for human rights Swedish ombudsmen became more famous as the protectors of the individuals.

In the end I can conclude that reasons for such a success, despite of the limited number of staff and ever increasing number of complaints, are absolute independence, power to prosecute, willingness to investigate and carry out sudden inspections to examine abuse regardless of the source of information, presence of similar specialized institutions and special attention to enlightenment work as preventive measure.

In its term origins of Azeri ombudsman can be debated, as the research revealed Azerbaijan used to have early institutions as early as in Medieval Ages that were similar to modern ombudsmen which at the same time indicates that notion of human rights was not alien to this part of the world. However, lost of independence and assimilation policies by different powers that lasted for centuries eroded these values, which were tried to be reintroduced in 2001, by the Constitutional Law on Ombudsman.

Despite of the achievements during the period of its existence, there is still space for improvement for Azeri ombudsman, which either stems out of the shortcomings of existing normative legal bases that serve as the background or the areas that were not studied enough. When looked back one can see the need for comparative study of the institution. Swedish colleague of Commissioner for Human Rights can serve as a good source for referral.

Principal differences between two institutions can be summarized as broad powers, substantial independence and efficiency through specialization which are characteristic for Swedish ombudsmen. In that sense the main achievement of this thesis is the suggestions towards how to incorporate the same values in context of Azerbaijan.

Lastly, I want to remind that as stressed in the introduction, thesis aimed at making a foreword for a long discussion about ombudsmen. For that reason it is more descriptive rather than innovative. Limited amount of time and resources, especially hardships with finding sources about Azeri ombudsman, is another reason why research did not go into the details of the topic, which are open to study. But still, research managed to come up with recommendations that can be constructive for the further success of ombudsman in Azerbaijan, if taken serious.

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1-) Walter Gellhorn, *Ombudsmen and Others Citizens' Protectors in Nine Countries*, section 5, 194, (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1967);
- 2-) Stig Jägerskiöld, *The Swedish Ombudsman*, 109 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1077 (June, 1961) WL 109 UPALR 1077;
- 3-) Commissioner for Civil Rights Protection of Poland, *National Ombudsmen*Collection of Legislation from 27 Countries , 294, Warsaw, 1998;
- 4-) Jennifer Gannett, *Providing Guardianship of Fundamental Rights and Essential Governmental Oversight: An Examination and Comparative Analysis of the Role of Ombudsman in Sweden and Poland*, 9 New Eng. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 159 (2003) WL 9 NENGJICL 519;
- 5-) Simone Ek, Building Political Support for the Convention on the Rights of the Child in Sweden, 4 Loy Poverty L.J. 263 (Spring, 1998) WL 4 LYPLJ 263;
  - 6-) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History\_of\_Azerbaijan (July 8'th 2007);
- 7-) Reha Alioglu Yilmaz, *Demokratik Dovletde Insan Huquqlarinin Mudafiesinde*Ombudsmanin Rolu, Azerbaycan Timsalinda (Role of Ombudsman in Protection of Human Rights in Democratic State, applied to context of Azerbaijan), article, 13, (Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences Human Rights Institute, "Elm" Press, Baku, 2003);
- 8-) <a href="http://www.saudiaramcoworld.com/issue/199402/the.cradle.of.the.turks.htm">http://www.saudiaramcoworld.com/issue/199402/the.cradle.of.the.turks.htm</a> (July 7'th 2007);
- 9-) 'Allamah Abu al-'A'la Mawdudi, *Human Rights in Islam, at* <a href="http://www.witness-pioneer.org/vil/Books/M\_hri/index.htm">http://www.witness-pioneer.org/vil/Books/M\_hri/index.htm</a> (July 9'th 2007);

- 10-) <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apostasy\_in\_Islam">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apostasy\_in\_Islam</a> (July 9'th 2007);
- 11-) Nasib L. Nassibli, "Azerbaijan- Iran Relations: Challenges and Prospects (Event Summary)" Belfer Center, 1999, Unpublished at:

  <a href="http://bcsia.ksg.harvard.edu/publication.cfm?program=CSP&ctype=event\_reports&item\_id=50">http://bcsia.ksg.harvard.edu/publication.cfm?program=CSP&ctype=event\_reports&item\_id=50</a>

  (July 9'th 2007);
- 12-) <a href="http://www.coe.int/T/E/Com/About\_Coe/Member\_states/e\_az.asp#TopOfPage">http://www.coe.int/T/E/Com/About\_Coe/Member\_states/e\_az.asp#TopOfPage</a>
  (July 9'th 2007);
  - 13-) Constitution of Republic of Azerbaijan
  - 14-) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khojaly\_massacre (July 9'th 2007);
- 15-) Constitutional Law of Republic of Azerbaijan on Commissioner of Human Rights of Republic of Azerbaijan of 2001;
  - 16-) <a href="http://www.ombudsman.gov.az">http://www.ombudsman.gov.az</a> (July 12'th 2007);